James Foster

DataSig and the University of Bath

DataSig and Bath



A rough path between mathematics and data science



le Alan Turing Imperial College stitute London



James Foster (DataSig and Bath)

Neural CDEs

2 Neural Controlled Differential Equations

3 Conclusion and related work

4 References

As we have already seen, the *signature* is a collection of features that we can define from a continuous path $X : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ (of finite length).

Definition (Depth-N Signature)

The depth-N signature transform of X over the interval [0, t] is given by

$$\operatorname{Sig}_{0,t}^{N}(X) = \left(\left\{ S_{0,t}^{i}(X) \right\}_{i=1}^{d}, \left\{ S_{0,t}^{i,j}(X) \right\}_{i,j=1}^{d}, \cdots, \left\{ S_{0,t}^{i_{1},\cdots,i_{N}}(X) \right\}_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{N}=1}^{d} \right),$$

where

$$S_{0,t}^{i_1,\cdots,i_k}(X) = \int_{0 < s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_k < t} dX_{s_1}^{i_1} dX_{s_2}^{i_2} \cdots dX_{s_k}^{i_k}.$$

We can extend the above to define the full path signature (i.e. $N = \infty$).

	4		= $() (()$
lames Foster (DataSıg and Bath)	Neural CDEs	12 December 2022	2/12

Thus, it follows that different entries in the signature can be related as

$$S_{0,t}^{i_1,\cdots,i_k}(X) = \int_0^t S_{0,s}^{i_1,\cdots,i_{k-1}}(X) dX_s^{i_k}.$$

Thus, it follows that different entries in the signature can be related as

$$S_{0,t}^{i_1,\cdots,i_k}(X) = \int_0^t S_{0,s}^{i_1,\cdots,i_{k-1}}(X) \, \mathrm{d} X_s^{i_k}.$$

Definition (Controlled differential equation)

We say $Y: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ solves a Controlled Differential Equation (CDE) if

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t f(Y_s) \mathrm{d}X_s,$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $X : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

Thus, it follows that different entries in the signature can be related as

$$S_{0,t}^{i_1,\cdots,i_k}(X) = \int_0^t S_{0,s}^{i_1,\cdots,i_{k-1}}(X) \, \mathrm{d} X_s^{i_k}.$$

Definition (Controlled differential equation)

We say $Y: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ solves a Controlled Differential Equation (CDE) if

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t f(Y_s) dX_s,$$
 (1)

where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $X : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$. We often write (1) in the form:

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t = f(Y_t)\mathrm{d}X_t. \tag{2}$$

Informal Theorem

"Path Signature + Linear Regression = Linear CDE"

James Foster (DataSig and Bath)

3/12

2 Neural Controlled Differential Equations

3 Conclusion and related work

4 References

Whilst CDEs encompass path signatures, they also extend ODEs since

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t f(Y_s) dX_s = Y_0 + \int_0^t f(Y_s) \frac{dX_s}{ds} ds.$$

That is, when X is continuously differentiable, a CDE can be written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_t}{\mathrm{d}t} = g(t, Y_t),\tag{3}$$

where $g(t, y) = f(y) \frac{dX}{dt}$.

Whilst CDEs encompass path signatures, they also extend ODEs since

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t f(Y_s) dX_s = Y_0 + \int_0^t f(Y_s) \frac{dX_s}{ds} ds.$$

That is, when X is continuously differentiable, a CDE can be written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_t}{\mathrm{d}t} = g(t, Y_t),\tag{4}$$

where $g(t, y) = f(y) \frac{dX}{dt}$. Hence, we can learn f using the methodology in

Ricky T. Q. Chen, Yulia Rubanova, Jesse Bettencourt and David Duvenaud. Neural Ordinary Differential Equations. NeurIPS 2018.

James Foster (DataSıg and Bath)	Neural CDEs	12 December 2022	4/12

We observe $\mathbf{x} = ((t_0, x_0), (t_1, x_1), \cdots, (t_n, x_n))$, with $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We observe $\mathbf{x} = ((t_0, x_0), (t_1, x_1), \cdots, (t_n, x_n))$, with $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $X : [0, n] \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ be a continuous path that interpolates this data, so $X(i) = (t_i, x_i)$. (e.g. cubic splines [2] and piecewise linear/rectilinear [3])

We observe $\mathbf{x} = ((t_0, x_0), (t_1, x_1), \cdots, (t_n, x_n))$, with $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $X : [0, n] \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ be a continuous path that interpolates this data, so $X(i) = (t_i, x_i)$. (e.g. cubic splines [2] and piecewise linear/rectilinear [3])

The NCDE model involves learnt functions ζ_{θ} , f_{θ} and a linear map ℓ_{θ} with

$$z(0) = \zeta_{\theta}(t_0, x_0), \quad z(t) = z(0) + \int_0^t f_{\theta}(z(s)) \, \mathrm{d}X(s), \tag{5}$$

and the output is either $\ell_{\theta}(z(T))$ or $\{\ell_{\theta}(z(t))\}$.

We observe $\mathbf{x} = ((t_0, x_0), (t_1, x_1), \cdots, (t_n, x_n))$, with $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $X : [0, n] \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ be a continuous path that interpolates this data, so $X(i) = (t_i, x_i)$. (e.g. cubic splines [2] and piecewise linear/rectilinear [3])

The NCDE model involves learnt functions ζ_{θ} , f_{θ} and a linear map ℓ_{θ} with

$$z(0) = \zeta_{\theta}(t_0, x_0), \quad z(t) = z(0) + \int_0^t f_{\theta}(z(s)) \, \mathrm{d}X(s), \tag{5}$$

and the output is either $\ell_{\theta}(z(T))$ or $\{\ell_{\theta}(z(t))\}$.

The CDE model (5) is discretized, the output is fed into a loss function $(L^2, \text{ cross entropy, etc})$ and trained using stochastic gradient descent.

200

We observe $\mathbf{x} = ((t_0, x_0), (t_1, x_1), \cdots, (t_n, x_n))$, with $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $X : [0, n] \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ be a continuous path that interpolates this data, so $X(i) = (t_i, x_i)$. (e.g. cubic splines [2] and piecewise linear/rectilinear [3])

The NCDE model involves learnt functions ζ_{θ} , f_{θ} and a linear map ℓ_{θ} with

$$z(0) = \zeta_{\theta}(t_0, x_0), \quad z(t) = z(0) + \int_0^t f_{\theta}(z(s)) \, \mathrm{d}X(s), \tag{5}$$

and the output is either $\ell_{\theta}(z(T))$ or $\{\ell_{\theta}(z(t))\}$.

The CDE model (5) is discretized, the output is fed into a loss function $(L^2, \text{cross entropy}, \text{etc})$ and trained using stochastic gradient descent.

Here ζ_{θ} and f_{θ} are neural nets, z is hidden state: <u>Continuous Time RNN</u>

200

CDEs are reparameterization invariant and well suited to tasks involving (partially-observed and/or irregularly sampled) multivariate time series.



Figure: Illustration of the RNN and NCDE models (taken from [2]).



James Foster (DataSig and Bath)

Neural CDEs

NCDEs work! (and allow for memory-efficient training)

Model	Test Accuracy	Memory usage (Mb)
GRU-ODE	$47.9\%\pm2.9\%$	0.164
GRU- Δ t	$43.3\% \pm 33.9\%$	1.54
GRU-D	$32.4\%\pm34.8\%$	1.64
ODE-RNN	$65.9\% \pm 35.6\%$	1.40
Neural CDE	89.8% ± 2.5%	0.167

Table: Speech Commands classification (regularly spaced, fully observed)

Model	Test AUC	Memory usage (Mb)
GRU-ODE	0.852 ± 0.010	454
GRU- Δt	0.878 ± 0.006	837
GRU-D	0.871 ± 0.022	889
ODE-RNN	0.874 ± 0.016	696
Neural CDE	$\textbf{0.880} \pm \textbf{0.006}$	244

Table: PhysioNet Sepsis prediction (irregularly sampled, partially observed)

2 Neural Controlled Differential Equations

3 Conclusion and related work

4 References

Conclusion and related work

- Neural CDEs are a model for continuous paths (and time series), at the intersection of Path Signatures, ODEs and Neural Networks (enjoying the benefits of all three!)
- "Neural Rough Differential Equations for Long Time Series" [4]
- Subsequent applications:
 - Reinforcement learning for healthcare [5]
 - Continuous-time multiscale control in robotics [6]
 - Modelling of counterfactual outcomes for healthcare [7]
 - Signature-based autoencoder for feature extraction in NRDEs [8]
 - CDE discriminator in GANs: Neural SDEs [9] and ECG Synthesis [10]
- Software available:
 - https://github.com/patrick-kidger/torchcde
 - https://github.com/patrick-kidger/diffrax

Thank you for your attention!

E

2 Neural Controlled Differential Equations

3 Conclusion and related work



References I

- R. T. Q. Chen, Y. Rubanova, J. Bettencourt and D. Duvenaud. Neural Ordinary Differential Equations. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2018.
- P. Kidger, J. Morrill, J. Foster and T. Lyons. Neural Controlled Differential Equations for Irregular Time Series. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2020.
- J. Morrill, P. Kidger, L. Yang and T. Lyons. *On the Choice of Interpolation Scheme for Neural CDEs*. Transactions on Machine Learning Research, 2022.
- J. Morrill, C. Salvi, P. Kidger, J. Foster and T. Lyons. *Neural Rough Differential Equations for Long Time Series*. Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2021.

References II

- T. W. Killian, H. Zhang, J. Subramanian, M. Fatemi and M. Ghassemi. An Empirical Study of Representation Learning for Reinforcement Learning in Healthcare. Machine Learning for Health NeurIPS Workshop, 2020.
- S. Singh, F. M. Ramirez, J. Varley, A. Zeng and V. Sindhwani. *Multiscale Sensor Fusion and Continuous Control with Neural CDEs.* Robotics: Science and Systems Workshop on "Overlooked Aspects of Imitation Learning: Systems, Data, Tasks, and Beyond", 2022. (preprint available at arxiv:2203.08715).
 - N. Seedat, F. Imrie, A. Bellot, Z. Qian and M. van der Schaar. *Continuous-Time Modeling of Counterfactual Outcomes Using Neural Controlled Differential Equations*. Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2022.

References III

- J. Lee, J. Jeon, S. Jhin, J. Hyeong, J. Kim, M. Jo, K. Seungji and N. Park. *LORD: Lower-Dimensional Embedding of Log-Signature in Neural Rough Differential Equations*. Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Learning Representations, 2022.
- P. Kidger, J. Foster, X. Li, H. Oberhauser and T. Lyons. *Neural SDEs as Infinite-Dimensional GANs*. Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2021.
- M. Habiba, E. Borphy, B. A. Pearlmutter and T. Ward . ECG Synthesis with Neural ODE and GAN Model, International Conference on Electrical, Computer and Energy Technologies (ICECET), 2021.